STANDARD APPLICATION Harford County Board of Appeals

AUG - \$ 2004

Case No. 5440

Date Filed 8.4-04

Hearing Date Receipt Fee #450 -

Bel Air, Maryland 21014

Shaded Areas for Office Use Only

Type of Application	Nature of Request and Section(s) of Code CASE 5440 MAP 48 TYPE Variance			
Administrative Decision/Interpretation Special Exception Use Variance Change/Extension of Non-Conforming Use	ELECTION DISTRICT 3 LOCATION 1346 Gates Head Drive, Bel Air, Md. 21014 BY David & Deborah Beatty			
Minor Area Variance Area Variance Variance from Requirements of the Code Zoning Map/Drafting Correction	Appealed because a variance pursuant to Section 267-36B, Table V of the Harford County Code to allow an addition to the existing attached garage within the 10 foot side yard setback (4 foot 3 inches proposed) and if necessary, a modification of Condition #2 in Case #4132 to allow for additional living area in a R2 District requires approval by the Board.			
веченортет, тооте поте рак ани Special exceptions.	Critical Area or requests for an Integrated Community Shopping Center, a Planned Residential			
Name DAVID Beaty Address 1346 Gates Head Street Number Street	Phone Number			
Co-Applicant Deborah Beath Address 1346 Gates Heap	Phone Number			
Street Number Street	Drive Belfire MD21014 City State Zip Code			
Contract PurchaserAddress	Phone Number			
Street Number Street	City State Zip Code			
Attorney/Representative Robert Neub	DACLINGTON, MO 21034			
Address 37/7 Berkley Rest	DACLINGTON, MO 21034			
Street street	City State Zip Code /			

- Printer	roperty 1346 GAtes HeA	o Drive
Belfie mr	21014	
Subdivision Parla	AMENT Ridge	Lot Number 27
Acreage/Lot Size 74/7	76 X / 37A vg . Election District3	Zoning SA
	Grid No Parcel	
List ALL structures on prope	erty and current use: <u>Single family he</u>	ome with attached
	garage	
Estimated time required to	present case: 20 minutes	Parameters and the second seco
If this Appeal is in reference	e to a Building Permit, state number \(\sqrt{A} \)	
Would approval of this peti	tion violate the covenants and restrictions for your proper	ty?
Is this property located with	nin the County's Chesapeake Bay Critical Area? Yes	_ NoX
If so, what is the Critical Are	ea Land Use designations:	
ls this request the result of	a zoning enforcement investigation? Yes No	and the same of th
s this request within one (1	.) mile of any incorporated town limits? Yes No	
<u>by 15 feef, 7,</u>	inches with 300 sq. ft au	bove.
Justification		
vi / 3 J	hee is approved, the New	
	the already approved 4 ft.	
	The property live viria	
Tudelling is 1	3 ft. from the dwelling. The	K - (2) ((2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (
	3 ft. from the Swelling. The	
becouse it ha Red Pump Ro Width of	is fredtage on Gates He	ad Dr as well as
Red Rump Ro Voldth of fadditional space is needed, atta	ach sheet to application. In answering the above questions, please of the property of the prop	refer to the Requirements that pertain to the type of ap
Red Rump Ro Voldth of fadditional space is needed, atta	ach sheet to application. In answering the above questions, please of the property of the prop	refer to the Requirements that pertain to the type of ap
Red Rump Ro Voldth of fadditional space is needed, atta	ach sheet to application. In answering the above questions, please is	refer to the Requirements that pertain to the type of ap

BOARD OF APPEALS REQUEST FOR VARIANCE 7/31/04

PROPERTY OWNERS: DAVID AND DEBORAH BEATTY

ADDRESS: 1346 GATES HEAD DRIVE BEL AIR, MD 21014

REQUEST: A VARIANCE TO CONSTRUCT AN ADDITION TO THE REAR OF AN EXISTING GARAGE WITH AN ALREADY APPROVED VARIANCE OF 12 FEET BY 15 FEET, 7 INCHES WITH 300 SQUARE FEET ABOVE.

JUSTIFICATION: IF THE VARIANCE IS APPROVED, THE NEW STRUCTURE WILL BE NO CLOSER THAN THE ALREADY APPROVED 4 FT. 3 INCHES FROM THE SIDE PROPERTY LINE; AND THE PROPERTY LINE ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE DWELLING IS 13 FT. FROM THE DWELLING. THE PROPERTY IS UNIQUE BECAUSE IT HAS FRONTAGE ON GATES HEAD DRIVE AS WELL AS RED PUMP ROAD. THE PROPERTY IS ALSO NARROW WITH AN AVERAGE WIDTH OF 74 FEET.

I DO NOT BELIEVE THE VARIANCE WOULD HAVE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE AREA HAVE GARAGES AND ADDITIONS OF SIMILAR SIZE. WITHOUT AN ACTIVE HOA IN OUR COMMUNITY, I INFORMED MY SEVEN CLOSEST NEIGHBORS OF MY INTENTIONS AND THEY SIGNED LETTERS OF THEIR APPROVAL. (SEE ATTACHED).

__AUGUSTA JUN-00-2004 17:24 410 893 4218 P.01/01 BH9916-193 1346 GATES HEAD DR BEL AIR HARF 8/23/99 AUGTA Case#99226 Fema Panel: 240040 0091A Flood Zone: C LOT 27 PLAT II SEC II PARLIAMENT RIDGE Book: 30 Folio: 99 Dist: 3 Co: HARFORD RED PUMP NO. Scale 1'= 40' 30 RM L= 75.53 R= 467 22 LOT LOT 26 28 LOT 27 16:2 4000 20 DECK BRICK ! VINYL 573°20' ₹ 0 U N16° 39' 17" E 72:70

GATES HEAD DR 50' R/W



EMAIL: SURVEYASSOCIATES @ EROLS.COM

> LOCATION MORTGAGE SURVEY

SURVEY ASSOCIATES OF MARYLAND INC

9418 annapolis Road Suite #163 LANHAM MD 20706 TXL 301 459 3760 FAX 301 459 4409

7221 BALT/ANNAP BLVD SUTTE #100 GLEN BURNIE MD 21961 TEL 410 768 8802 FAX 410 768 8808 32 LEE STREET PO BOX 4599 ANNAPOLIS MD 21481-8599 410 266 7211 FAX 410 266 0918

BOARD OF APPEALS CASE NO. 4132

BEFORE THE

APPLICANT: Marshall W. Rose

ZONING HEARING EXAMINER

REQUEST: Side yard setback variance to construct an attached garage; 1346 Gates Head Drive,

OF HARFORD COUNTY

Bel Air

Hearing Advertised

HEARING DATE: February 13, 1991

Aegis: 1/9/91 & 1/16/91 Record: 1/9/91 & 1/16/91

ZONING HEARING EXAMINER'S DECISION

The Applicant, Marshall W. Rose, appeared before the Hearing Examiner requesting a variance to Section 267-36(B), Table V, of the Harford County Code, for an attached garage to be located within the required 10 foot side yard setback and total 30 foot setback for both side yards.

The subject parcel is located at 1346 Gates Head Drive in the Third Election District. The parcel is identified as Parcel No. 436, in Grid 1-E, on Tax Map 48. The parcel contains 0.33 acres, more or less, all of which is zoned R2.

Mr. Marshall W. Rose appeared and testified that he is requesting a variance to construct an attached single-car garage with dimensions of 30 feet by 15 feet 7 inches. The witness said that if the variance is approved, the closest point of the garage will be 4 feet 3 inches from the side property line and the property line on the opposite side of the dwelling is 13 feet from the dwelling. The witness described the subject parcel as being unique because it has frontage on Gates Head Drive, as well as Red Pump Road, and also because the parcel is narrow, with an average width of 74 feet. The witness said he did not feel the variance would have an adverse impact on the neighborhood because other properties in the area have attached garages and also because no neighbors appeared in opposition to the Applicant's request.

CASE NO. 4132 - MARSHALL W. ROSE

The witness said that there are two sheds presently located on the parcel which will be removed after construction of the garage. The witness said that the proposed garage will be aesthetically compatible with the existing dwelling, and the garage will not be used for commercial purposes, additional living space, or storage of contractors' equipment.

No protestants appeared in opposition to the Applicant's request, and the Staff Report recommends denial of the requested variance. CONCLUSION:

The Applicant is requesting an area variance to construct an attached garage. Table V of Section 267-36(B) requires a minimum 10 foot side yard setback and a total of 30 feet for both side yard setbacks combined. The Applicant is proposing a 4 foot 3 inch side yard setback on the side of the proposed garage, and the side yard setback on the opposite side of the dwelling is 13 feet; therefore, the Applicant is proposing a total side yard setback of 17 feet, 3 inches.

The Applicant testified that he feels the subject parcel is unique because it has frontage on Gates Head Drive and Red Pump Road, thereby requiring the Applicant to comply with two front yard setbacks, which greatly reduces the development envelope on the subject parcel. The witness also testified he feels the subject parcel is unique because the lot has an average width of approximately 74 feet. The witness also testified he did not feel the variance would have an adverse impact on the neighborhood because other properties in the neighborhood have attached garages and, further, that no area residents appeared in opposition to his request.

It is the finding of the Hearing Examiner that the subject parcel is unique for the reasons stated and, further, the variance will not have a detrimental impact on adjacent properties or materially impair the purpose of the Code.

CASE NO. 4132 - MARSHALL W. ROSE

Therefore, it is the recommendation of the Hearing Examiner that the requested variance be granted, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the Applicant obtain all necessary permits and inspections.
- 2. That the garage shall not be used for additional living area.
- 3. That the garage shall not be used in the furtherance of a business or for storage of contractors' equipment.

Date	MARCH	7,	1991

L. A. Hinderhofer

Zoning Hearing Examiner

JAMES M. HARKINS

HARFORD COUNTY EXECUTIVE

JOHN J. O'NEILL, JR. DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION



J. STEVEN KAII-ZIEGLER DIRECTOR OF PLANNING & ZONING

HARFORD COUNTY GOVERNMENT

Department of Planning and Zoning

September 16, 2004

STAFF REPORT



BOARD OF APPEALS CASE NO. 5440

APPLICANT/OWNER:

David Beatty

1346 Gates Head Drive, Bel Air, Maryland 21014

Co-APPLICANT:

Deborah Beatty

1346 Gates Head Drive, Bel Air, Maryland 21014

REPRESENTATIVE:

Robert Neubeck, Contractor

3717 Berkley Road, Darlington, Maryland 21034

LOCATION:

1346 Gates Head Drive – Parliament Ridge

Tax Map: 48 / Grid: 1E / Parcel: 436 / Lot: 27

Election District: Third (3)

ACREAGE:

0.234 of an acre

ZONING:

R2/Urban Residential District

DATE FILED:

August 4, 2004

HEARING DATE:

October 6, 2004

APPLICANTS' REQUEST and JUSTIFICATION:

Request:

"A variance to construct an addition to the rear of an existing garage with an already approved variance of 12 feet by 15 feet, 7 inches with 300 sq. ft. above."

Preserving our ratues, protecting our future (410) 638-3103

MY DIRECT PHONE NUMBER IS

STAFF REPORT Board of Appeals Case Number 5440 David & Deborah Beatty Page 2 of 4

Justification:

"If the variance is approved, the new structure will be no closer than the already approved 4 ft. 3 inches from the side property line; and the property line on the other side of the dwelling is 13 ft. from the dwelling. The property is unique because it has frontage on Gates Head Dr. as well as Red Pump Road. The property is also narrow with an average width of 74 ft. I do not believe the variance would have an adverse impact on the neighborhood because other properties in the area have garages and additions of similar size. Without an active HOA in our community, I informed my seven closest neighbors of my intentions and they signed letters of their approval. (See attached)" (Attachment 1).

CODE REQUIREMENTS:

The Applicants are requesting a variance pursuant to Section 267-36B, Table V of the Harford County Code to allow an addition to the existing attached garage within the 10 foot side yard setback (4 foot, 3 inches proposed) and if necessary a modification of Condition #2 in Case 4132 to allow for additional living area in an R2 District.

Enclosed with the report is a copy of Section 267-36B, Table V of the Harford County Code (Attachment 2).

Enclosed with the report is a copy of Board of Appeals Decision 4132 (Attachment 3).

LAND USE and ZONING ANALYSIS:

Land Use – Master Plan:

The subject property is located north of Vale Road in the development of Parliament Ridge. The Applicants' lot is a double frontage lot with road frontage on the west side of Gates Head Drive and the east side of Red Pump Road. A location map and a copy of the Applicants' site plan are enclosed with the report (Attachments 4 and 5).

The Applicants' property is located within the Development Envelope. The predominant land use designations in the area include Low, Medium and High Intensities. The Natural Features map reflects stream systems. The subject property is located in the Medium Intensity designation, which is defined by the 2004 Master Plan as:

Medium Intensity - Areas within the Development Envelope where residential development is the primary land use. Density ranges from 3.5 to 7.0 dwelling units per acre. Grocery stores, variety stores and other commercial uses are examples of some of the more intensive uses associated with this designation.

Enclosed with the report are copies of portions of the 2004 Land Use Map and the Natural Features Map (Attachments 6 and 7).

STAFF REPORT Board of Appeals Case Number 5440 David & Deborah Beatty Page 3 of 4

<u>Land Use – Existing:</u>

The existing land uses in this area of the County are consistent with the 2004 Master Plan. Residential uses include single-family dwellings, townhouses, garden apartments and condominiums. Commercial uses are mainly located to the east along Rock Spring Road (Route 24). Other land uses include schools, churches, a cemetery and parks. Enclosed with the report is a copy of the aerial photograph (Attachment 8).

The Applicants' property is located in the single-family residential development of Parliament Ridge. The lot is a double frontage lot, approximately 0.234 of an acre in size as shown on the enclosed copy of the record plat (Attachment 9). The lot has frontage and access from Gates Head Drive and backs up to Red Pump Road. The topography of the lot ranges from gently sloping to level except next to Red Pump Road where there will be a 3 to 4 foot elevation difference due to the new road construction. Improvements consist of a brick and frame single-family dwelling with an attached one-car garage, a deck attached to rear of the dwelling, a shed on the right rear corner of the lot and a 6 foot solid board privacy fence around the rear yard. The lot is nicely landscaped with mature trees and shrubbery and all improvements appear to well maintained. Enclosed with the report is a copy of the topography map, an enlargement of the aerial photograph and site photographs (Attachments 10, 11 and 12).

Zoning:

The zoning classifications in the area are consistent with the intent of the 2004 Master Plan as well as the existing land uses. Residential zoning ranges from R1 to R3/Urban Residential Districts. Commercial zoning includes B1/Neighborhood and B2/Community Business Districts. The subject property is zoned R2/Urban Residential District. A copy of the Zoning Map is enclosed with the report (Attachment 13).

SUMMARY:

The Applicants are requesting a variance pursuant to Section 267-36B, Table V of the Harford County Code to allow an addition to the existing attached garage within the 10 foot side yard setback (4 foot, 3 inches proposed) and if necessary a modification of Condition #2 in Case 4132 to allow for additional living area in an R2 District.

Variances of this nature may be approved by the Board of Appeals pursuant to Section 267-11 of the Harford County Code, provided it finds by reason of the uniqueness of the property or topographical conditions that literal enforcement of the Code would result in practical difficulty and undue hardship. Further, the Applicants must show that the request will not be substantially detrimental to adjacent properties or will not materially impair the purpose of the Code or the public interest.

The Applicants are proposing to construct an addition to the rear of the existing garage approximately 20 feet in size, with approximately 300 square feet on the second floor

STAFF REPORT Board of Appeals Case Number 5440 David & Deborah Beatty Page 4 of 4

(Attachment 14). The previous owners went to the Board for the existing garage, which was approved in March 1991 reducing the required 10 foot minimum setback to 4 feet, 3 inches. The present owners propose to extend their addition out from the rear of the garage with a second floor that will come no closer to the side property line than the existing 4 feet, 3 inches. It will extend out approximately the same distance as the existing deck (as shown on the Applicants' site plan).

The addition should have no adverse impact on the adjacent property or surrounding neighborhood. The Applicants' dwelling is one of the smaller dwellings in the neighborhood and the addition will be compatible with the other dwellings in the area. The request will not further reduce the setback established by the Board of Appeals in Case 4132.

RECOMMENDATION and/or SUGGESTED CONDITIONS:

The Department of Planning and Zoning recommends that the request be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The Applicants shall obtain all necessary permits and inspections for the addition.
- 2. The existing garage and proposed addition shall not be used in the furtherance of a business.
- 3. The addition shall not come any closer to the side property line than the existing garage.

Dennis J. Sigler, Coordinator

Zoning & Board of Appeals Review

Anthony S. McClune, AICP

Deputy Director, Planning and Zoning

DJS/ASM/ka